

1 **AOAC SMPR 2020.XXX; Draft AOAC Standard Method Performance Requirements (SMPRs) for Non-**  
2 **Targeted Testing (NTT) of Ingredients for Food Authenticity/Fraud Evaluation of Extra Virgin Olive Oil;**  
3 **version 5; April 21, 2020**  
4

5 **Intended Use**

6 AOAC SMPRs describe the minimum recommended performance characteristics to be used during the  
7 evaluation of a method. The evaluation may be an on-site verification, a single-laboratory validation,  
8 or a multi-site collaborative study. SMPRs are written and adopted by AOAC stakeholder panels  
9 composed of representatives from the industry, regulatory organizations, contract laboratories, test  
10 kit manufacturers, and academic institutions. AOAC SMPRs are used by AOAC expert review panels in  
11 their evaluation of validation study data for method being considered for *Performance Tested*  
12 *Methods<sup>SM</sup>* or *AOAC Official Methods of Analysis<sup>SM</sup>*, and can be used as acceptance criteria for  
13 verification at user laboratories.  
14

15 **1. Applicability**

16 This document contains assessment parameters on the performance of Non-Targeted Testing (NTT)  
17 methods to monitor extra virgin olive oil (EVOO) for the probable presence of Economically Motivated  
18 Adulterants (EMA).  
19

20 This SMPR was designed to evaluate Non-Targeted Testing (NTT) methods developed to assess  
21 potential economic adulteration in defined commodities. The SMPR was purposely designed with  
22 general descriptions to be applicable to a broad range of innovative analytical platforms and  
23 chemometric approaches. Binary analytical results of “Authentic” or “Not Authentic” on defined  
24 samples from the performance of the method will be used to perform the evaluations by the Expert  
25 Review Panel.  
26

27 Complete documentation of the collection and use of authentic samples is to be supplied by the  
28 method authors. The scope of authentic samples will be the applicable scope of the NTT method and  
29 expansion of the scope is possible with the inclusion of additional authentic samples into the baseline  
30 calibration, and validation using the protocol listed in this SMPR.  
31

32 **2. Analytical Technique**

33 A non-targeted method to be used to evaluate foods and ingredients for possible EMAs. Any method  
34 generating a baseline fingerprint of the authentic material and comparing test sample fingerprints to  
35 assess differences will be considered. The final binary result identifies test samples as either authentic  
36 or potentially adulterated. This method demonstrates reliability using the requirements listed in this  
37 SMPR.  
38

39 For single lab validation studies, the method will be evaluated using prescribed adulterated materials  
40 as shown in Table 1. Methods approved at this level will proceed to a second level of evaluation (i.e.,  
41 multi-laboratory validation) where blinded samples containing unknown adulterants will be sent to  
42 laboratories participating in the ensuing multi-laboratory validation.  
43

44 The scope of the NTT method will be defined by the authentic samples used in generating the baseline  
45 fingerprint.  
46

47 **3. Definitions**

48 Applicability Statement – a general statement about the intended purpose and scope of the method  
49 entailing key aspects of expected achievements for the specific situation and circumstances. Key  
50 points to cover are the intended matrix scope, the purpose, and an indication of sensitivity, specificity,  
51 and significance (USP Appendix XVIII).  
52

53 Authentic Samples – Samples representative of the genuine commodity. These samples should  
 54 represent the food’s or ingredient’s variability seen naturally in the commodity. The authentic  
 55 samples used to generate the product baseline fingerprint will be used to properly define the NTT  
 56 method testing scope.

57  
 58 Baseline Fingerprint – A food-specific model created by software evaluation of collected analytical  
 59 data.

60  
 61 Economically Motivated Adulteration – The fraudulent addition of non-authentic substances or  
 62 removal or replacement of authentic substances without the purchaser's knowledge for economic  
 63 gain of the seller (USP Appendix XVIII).

64  
 65 False Origin – Extra Virgin Olive Oil containing mislabeled geographic and botanical sources.

66  
 67 Authentic EVOO – The type(s) of EVOO used to generate the baseline fingerprint. The method’s scope  
 68 of authenticity is defined by the EVOO(s) used in generating the baseline fingerprint.  
 69 Authentic sample documentation will be reviewed by the ERP to verify method scope. Suggested  
 70 parameters include country of origin, extraction process, and applicable analytical tests such as  
 71 content of fat, protein, fatty acid profiles, polyphenols, and phytosterols. Additional information can  
 72 be included.

73  
 74 Single Laboratory Validation – Demonstration by one laboratory of method performance on samples  
 75 described in Table 1.

76  
 77 Multilaboratory Validation – Demonstration between laboratories using adulterated samples created  
 78 by a third-party group and supplied blindly to the participating laboratories.

79  
 80 **4. Method Performance Requirements**  
 81 **(Table 1: Method Performance Requirements)**  
 82

Test	Adulterant	%Adulterant in Test Materials	Number of Samples to be Tested <sup>1</sup>	Number of Test Results Qualified as Adulterated
Baseline	None (Authentic EVOO)	0%	Establish Baseline Fingerprint <sup>2</sup>	
Validation using Authentic Samples <sup>3</sup>	None	0%	30	0
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Sunflower Oil	5%	30	30
Validation	Validation <sup>4</sup>	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Corn Oil	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Hazelnut Oil	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Canola Oil	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Safflower Oil	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	Non-EVOO	5%	30	30
Validation <sup>4</sup>	False Origin	5%	30	30

83 1. Multiple samples from the same batch of adulterated material can be used for method evaluation.

- 84  
85  
86  
87  
88
2. Full details on protocol used to establish an authentic fingerprint must be supplied.
  3. Samples used for this step must be independent than those used to create the baseline and must cover the entire scope of the method.
  4. Method validation using adulterated samples shall cover the entire scope used in creating the baseline fingerprint.
- 89 **5. System Suitability Tests and/or Analytical Quality Control**
- 90 Suitable methods will include blanks, and appropriate check standards.
- 91
- 92 **6. Reference Materials**
- 93 Detailed protocols used to identify reference materials as authentic and to create adulterated samples
- 94 must be supplied.
- 95
- 96 **7. Validation Guidance**
- 97 a) Data demonstrating method performance is required.
- 98 b) Available guidance documents:
- 99 a. AOAC INTERNATIONAL Guidelines for Validation of Botanical Identification Methods, Journal of AOAC
- 100 International Vol. 95, No. 1, 2012
- 101 b. Statistical analysis of interlaboratory studies. LII. Sample size needed to meet performance
- 102 requirement on proportion. [http://lcfltd.com/AOAC/tr347-SAIS-LII-sample-size-needed-for-PR-for-](http://lcfltd.com/AOAC/tr347-SAIS-LII-sample-size-needed-for-PR-for-proportion.pdf)
- 103 [proportion.pdf](http://lcfltd.com/AOAC/tr347-SAIS-LII-sample-size-needed-for-PR-for-proportion.pdf)
- 104 c. United States Pharmacopeia (USP). Appendix XVIII: Guidance on Developing and Validating Non-
- 105 targeted Methods for Adulteration Detection. Food Chemicals Codex, 3rd supplement to 11th ed.;
- 106 USP: Rockville, MD, 2019
- 107
- 108 **8. Maximum Time-to-Results**
- 109 None.

