AOAC SMPR® 2020.002

Standard Method Performance Requirements (SMPRs®) for Detection of Salmonella species in Cannabis and Cannabis Products

Intended Use: Consensus-Based Reference Method

1 Purpose

AOAC SMPRs describe the minimum recommended performance characteristics and suggested inclusivity/exclusivity organisms to be used during the evaluation of a method. The evaluation may be an on-site verification, a single-laboratory validation, or a multi-site collaborative study. SMPRs are written by AOAC working groups which are composed of representatives from industry, regulatory organizations, contract laboratories, test kit manufacturers, and academic institutions. AOAC SMPRs may be used for method development and optimization. Additionally, AOAC SMPRs are used by AOAC expert review panels in their evaluation of validation study data for methods being considered for *Performance Tested Methods*SM or AOAC *Official Methods of Analysis*SM and can be used as acceptance criteria for verification at user laboratories.

2 Applicability

Alternative methods used to detect *Salmonella* species and their serovars in cannabis and cannabis products.

3 Analytical Technique

Any analytical technique that can meet the requirements.

4 Definitions

Candidate method.—Method submitted for validation. [Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA]

Candidate method confirmed result.—Final result obtained for a test portion after cultural confirmation of a candidate method.

Candidate method presumptive result.—Preliminary result for a test portion produced by following a candidate method's instructions for use.

Cannabis.—Genus of flowering plants within the Cannabinaceae family that commonly contain 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and other cannabinoids and terpenes. Cannabis includes, but is not limited to, high-THC and high-CBD cultivars.

Cannabis concentrates.—Extracts (primarily composed of cannabinoids and/or terpenes) manufactured through the extraction and concentration of compounds derived from the cannabis plant or flower. Final products can be many forms, including oils, wax, or hash (Category II).

Cannabis-infused edibles.—Food and drinks containing extracts of cannabis and/or cannabis materials (Category III).

Cannabis-infused nonedibles.—Products containing extracts of cannabis and/or cannabis materials intended to be applied to the human body or any part thereof. Final products can be many forms including creams, ointments, cosmetics and therapeutic pads (Category IV).

Cannabis plant and flower:—General terms for the structural and flowering unadulterated parts of the cannabis plant (Category I).

Cannabis products.—Products (edible and nonedible) extracted or infused with compounds derived from the cannabis plant including but not limited to CBD and THC.

Exclusivity.—Study involving pure nontarget strains, which are potentially cross-reactive, that shall be not detected or enumerated by the candidate method. *See* Table 1 for a list of recommended nontarget strains. [Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA]

Fractional positive.—Validation criterion that is satisfied when an unknown sample yields both positive and negative responses within a set of replicate analyses. Proportion of positive responses should fall within 25 and 75% and should ideally approximate 50% of the total number of replicates in the set. A set of replicate analyses are those replicates analyzed by one method. Only one set of replicates per matrix is required to satisfy this criterion.

Inclusivity.—Study involving pure target strains that shall be detected or enumerated by the candidate method. See Tables 2 and 3 for a list of recommended target strains. [Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA]

Laboratory probability of detection (LPOD).—POD value obtained from combining all valid collaborator data sets for a method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration. [Appendix H: Probability of Detection (POD) as a Statistical Model for the Validation of Qualitative Methods, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA]

LCL.-Lower confidence limit.

Probability of detection (POD).—Portion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration. Difference in POD values between presumptive and confirmed results is termed $dPOD_{cp}$.

Salmonella.—Straight rods, $0.7-1.5 \times 2-5 \mu m$. Gram negative. Usually motile by peritrichous flagella. Facultative anaerobic. Chemoorganotrophic, having both a respiratory and fermentative metabolism. D-glucose and other carbohydrates are catabolized with the production of acid and usually gas. Oxidase negative, catalase positive, indole and Voges-Proskauer negative, methyl red and Simmons citrate positive. Lysine and ornithine decarboxylase positive, there is a variable arginine dihydrolase reaction. H_aS is produced, urea is not hydrolyzed, and growth on KCN and utilization of malonate are variable. Reduce nitrates. Carbohydrates usually fermented include L-arabinose, maltose, D-mannitol, D-mannose, L-rhamnose, D-sorbitol, trehalose, and D-xylose. Occur in humans, warm- and cold-blooded animals, food, and the environment. Pathogenic for humans and many animal species. Causative agent of typhoid fever, enteric fevers, gastroenteritis, and septicemia. [Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, 9th Ed., John G. Holt (Ed)]

Test portion.—Sample size used in most validation studies. For cannabis flower/plant and cannabis infused nonedible products, a 10 g test portion is used. For cannabis concentrates, a 5 g test portion is used. For cannabis-infused edibles, a 25 g test portion is

used. A larger test portion can be used in validation studies when appropriate. *See* Table 4 for minimum test portion requirements. *UCL*.—Upper confidence limit.

5 System Suitability Tests and/or Analytical Quality Control

Positive and negative controls shall be embedded in assays as appropriate. Inhibition controls should be used for method verification for each new matrix. Manufacturer must provide written justification if controls are not appropriate to an assay.

6 Reference Material(s)

The use of live cultures and/or fungal spores (liquid stressed/ nonstressed, lyophilized) is required for inclusivity and exclusivity testing and for inoculation of test matrices during the matrix studies. Extracted DNA is not suitable for use in validating methods against this SMPR but may be used to develop supplemental information.

7 Validation Guidance

Appendix F: Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements [Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA, http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app f.pdf]

Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces [Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA, http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_j.pdf]; or ISO 16140-2:2016

Microbiological Examination of Nonsterile Products: Microbial Enumeration Tests (61), USP 40, United States Pharmacopeia, Rockville, MD, USA

Feng, P., Weagant, S.D., Grant, M.A., & Burkhardt, W. (2017) *Microbiological Examination of Nonsterile Products: Tests for Specified Microorganisms (62)*, USP 40, United States Pharmacopeia, Rockville, MD, USA

Bacteriological Analytical Manual, Chapter 4 Enumeration of Escherichia coli and the Coliform Bacteria, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, https://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/ LaboratoryMethods/ucm064948.htm

Andrews, W.H., Wang, H., Jacobson, A., & Hammack, T. (2018) *Bacteriological Analytical Manual, Chapter 5 Salmonella*, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, https://www.fda.gov/Food/ FoodScienceResearch/LaboratoryMethods/ucm070149.htm

At the time of publication, no national reference method exists for confirmation of *Salmonella* spp. from cannabis products. Until a suitable reference method is established, the following is recommended for method developers:

To screen samples for the presence or absence of the target analyte, two methods that employ different technologies (agar plate, PCR, ELISA) must be used.

To ensure the viability of the inoculating organism (both confirming presumptive results or determining false-negative results), a secondary enrichment followed by plating of the sample to a minimum of two types of agar plates, one of which is recommended to be chromogenic agar, is required (Table 5). Final confirmation can be achieved via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectroscopy, sequencing, or other suitable confirmatory procedures (e.g., biochemical analysis).

When performing the validation, bulk inoculation of test material is required. In certain instances (e.g., therapeutic patches), individual item inoculation may be required.

For the single-laboratory validation (SLV) with artificial contamination, matrix naturally contaminated with nontarget organisms (when available) shall be used. For at least one matrix evaluated during the SLV, competing nontarget microflora must be at least $10\times$ the level of the target microorganism. If the concentration of competing microflora does not exceed $10\times$ the target organism for any matrix, artificial contamination of one matrix with nontarget organism(s) is required.

A minimum 3-level most probable number (MPN) study should be performed to determine the concentration of the target organism used in the validation. If possible, the use of test portions included in the matrix study should be included as a level in the MPN study. See AOAC Appendix J guidelines for details on performing the MPN study [Appendix J: AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL (2019) 21st Ed., AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA, http://www.eoma.aoac. org/app_j.pdf]

8 Maximum Time-to-Determination

None

9 Method Performance Requirements

See Table 6 for acceptance criteria for validation.

See Table 4 for category test portion requirement.

See Table 7 for matrix claims acceptance criteria.

See Table 8 for descriptions of MPN analysis.

See Table 9 for condition of inoculating culture and stabilization of matrix for inoculation.

See Table 5 for selective broth and agar recommendations.

See Table 10 for inclusivity and exclusivity performance requirements.

See Tables 2 and 3 for inclusivity organisms. The tables list required and suggested subspecies and serovars that method developers can use to validate their methods. It is recommended that method developers reference Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) revised *Atlas on Salmonella* (https://www.cdc. gov/salmonella/pdf/salmonella-atlas-508c.pdf) to incorporate as many serovars listed therein as possible. A minimum of 100 serovars are required for AOAC adoption. Additionally, requirements in Table 2 must be met.

See Table 1 for exclusivity organisms.

Approved by stakeholders of the AOAC Cannabis Analytical Science Program (CASP) on April 7, 2020.

Posted: April 28, 2020

Table 1. Exclusivity panel

Organism					
Aeromonas hydrophila	Hafnia species				
Additional Aeromonas species	Klebsiella oxytoca				
Burkholderia species	Klebsiella pneumonia				
Bacillus subtilis	Listeria monocytogenes				
Campylobacter jejuni	Morganella morganii				
Candida tropicalis	Pantoea species				
Citrobacter braakii	Proteus hauseri				
Citrobacter farmerii	Proteus mirabilis				
Citrobacter freundii	Proteus vulgaris				
Citrobacter murliniae	Pseudomonas aeruginosa				
Citrobacter youngae	Pseudomonas fluorescens				
Citrobacter species	Pseudomonas species				
Edwardsiella tarda	Ralstonia species				
Enterobacter aerogenes	Rhanella species				
Enterobacter amnigenus	Serratia marcesens				
Enterobacter cancerogenus	Shigella dysenteriae				
Enterobacter cloacae	Shigella flexneri				
Enterobacter gergoviae	Shigella sonnei				
Enterobacter sakazakii	Trichoderma harzianum				
Erwinia species	Yersinia species				
Escherichia coli	Vibrio vulnificus				
Escherichia coli O157:H7					
Escherichia fergusonii					
Escherichia hermanii					
Escherichia vulneris					

Table 2. Required Salmonella subspecies for inclusivity

Salmonella	Min. No. of strains included ^a
Salmonella bongori	2
Salmonella enterica subsp. arizonae	3
Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae	3
Salmonella enterica subsp. houtenae	3
Salmonella enterica subsp. indica	3
Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	3
Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica	1 Strain per serovar
	Salmonella Salmonella bongori Salmonella enterica subsp. arizonae Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae Salmonella enterica subsp. houtenae Salmonella enterica subsp. indica Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae

^a Required number of strains per subspecies, per method claims.

Table 3. Suggested Salmonella serovars for inclusivity

		Antigenic proper	ties serotype			
	Salmonella (serovar included)	0	н	Year outbreak	CDC Top 20ª	FDA ranking Top 40
1	Salmonella bongori, Serotype Brookfield	66	Z ₄₁ :-			
2	Salmonella bongori	66				
3	Salmonella enterica subsp. Salamae	47				
4	Salmonella enterica subsp. Salamae	50				
5	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	53				
6	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	55				
7	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae serovar Artis	56				
8	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	57				
9	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae serovar Basel	58				
10	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	59				
11	Salmonella enterica subsp. salamae	60				
12	Salmonella enterica subsp. Arizonae ^b	40				41
13	Salmonella enterica subsp. Arizonae	51				
14	Salmonella enterica subsp. Arizonae	62				
15	Salmonella enterica subsp. Arizonae	63				
16	Salmonella enterica subsp. Arizonae	65				
17	Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae	35				
18	Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae	47				
19	Salmonella enterica subsp. Diarizonae⁵	48				29
20	Salmonella enterica subsp. diarizonae serovar Eilbek	61				
21	Salmonella enterica subsp. houtenae serovar Halmstad	3,{10}{15}{15,34}	g,s,t:-			
22	Salmonella enterica subsp. houtenae serovar Harmelen	51				
23	Salmonella enterica subsp. houtenae serovar Ochsenzoll	16				
24	Salmonella enterica subsp. Indica	1,6,14,25				
25	Salmonella enterica subsp. Indica	45				
26	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi A	1,2,12				
27	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Agona ^ь	1,4,[5],12	f,g,s:[1,2]	11	15	5
28	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Heidelberg	1 ,4,[5],12	r:1,2	14, 13, 11	7	
29	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Paratyphi B ^b	1,4,[5],12	b:1,2		16	34
30	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Derby	1, 4,[5], 12	f,g:[1,2]			
31	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Typhimurium ^ь	1,4 ,[5],12	i:1,2	13, 12, 11, 10	2	6
32	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Saintpaul⁵	1,4,[5],12	e,h:1,2	13	12	15
33	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Sandiego⁵	1,4,[5],12	e,h:e,n,z ₁₅	13		24
34	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica I 4,[5],12:i:-	1,4,[5],12	i:-	10	5	
35	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Chester	1,4,[5],12	e,h:e,n,x	10		
36	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Stanley ^c	1,4,[5],12,[27]	d:1,2	14		31
37	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Indiana	1,4,12	z;1,7			
38	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Preston	1,4,12	z:l,w			
39	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Bredeney	1,4,12,27	l,v:1,7	12		

Table 3. (continued)

	Antigenic properties serotype					
	Salmonella (serovar included)	0	Н	Year outbreak	CDC Top 20ª	FDA ranking Top 40
40	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Vellore	1,4,12,27	Z ₁₀ :Z ₃₅			
41	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Schwarzengrund	1 ,4 ,12, 27	d:1,7			
42	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Abortusequi	4,12	-:e,n,x			
43	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Abortusovis	4,12	c:1,6			
44	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Choleraesuis	6, 7	c:1,5			
45	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Hartford	6, 7	y:e,n,x	10		
46	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Braenderup⁵	6 , 7,14	This serovar is now recognized as Westhampton var 15+	12	10	40
47	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Bareilly ^b	6,7,14	y:e,n,x	12	17	14
48	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Infantis⁵	6,7,14	r:1,5	12	9	28
49	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Lille	6,7,14	Z ₃₈ :-	13,12		
50	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Mbandaka ^ь	6, 7, 14	z ₁₀ :e,n,z ₁₅	13		2
51	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Oranienburg ^ь	6, 7, 14	m,t:[z ₅₇]		11	10
52	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Thompson ^b	6, 7, 14	K:1,5		14	19
53	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Montevideob	6 , 7,14,[54]	g,m,[p],s: [1,2,7]	13,12, 10	6	4
54	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Hadar	6,8	z ₁₀ :e,n,x	12, 11		
55	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Muenchen ^b	6, 8	d:1,2		8	12
56	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Newport ^ь	6, 8, 20	e,h:1,2	13,12, 10	3	1
57	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Haardt	8	k:1,5			
58	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Kentucky ^ь	8, 20	I,z ₆			18
59	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Panama ^b	1,9,12	l,v:1,5	11		39
60	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Berta	1,9,12	[f],g,[t]:-		19	
61	<i>Salmonella enterica</i> subsp. <i>enterica</i> serovar <i>Enteritidis</i> ⁵	1,9,12	g,m:-	12, 11, 10	1	17
62	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Gallinarum	1,9,12	-:-			
63	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Javiana ^b	1,9,12	l,z ₂₈ :1,5		4	13
64	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Neasden	9,12	g,s,t:e,n,x			
65	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhi	9,12[Vi]	d:-	10	18	
66	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Baildon	9,46	a:e,n,x	10		
67	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Anatum ^b	3,{10}{15}{15,34}	e,h:1,6		20	8
68	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Anatum var. 15+	3,{10}{15}	g,m,s:-			
69	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Give ^b	3,{10}{15}{15,34}	l,v:1,7			11
70	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Nchanga	3 ,{10}{15}	l,v:1,2	12		
71	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Krefeld	1,3,19	y;l,w			
72	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Senftenberg ^ь	1 ,3,19	g,[s],t:-			3
73	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Abaetetubab	11	k:1,5			38
74	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Poona ^b	1,13,22	z:1,6	13		21
75	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Cubana ^b	1 ,13,23	Z ₂₉ :-			27
76	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Mississippi	1 ,13,23	b:1,5		11	
77	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Bristol	13,22	z:1,7			
78	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Putten	13,23	d:l,w			

Table 3. (continued)

		Antigenic properties serotype				
	Salmonella (serovar included)	0	н	Year outbreak	CDC Top 20ª	FDA ranking Top 40
79	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Kaitaan	1,6,14,25	m,t:-			
80	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Schalkwijk	6 ,14,[24]	i:e,n,z ₁₅			
81	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Sundsvall	[1], 6,14,[25]	z:e.n.x			
82	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Nottingham	16	d:e,n,z ₁₅			
83	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Matadi	17	k:e,n,x			
84	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Cerro	6,14,18	z ₄ ,z ₂₃ :[1,5]			
85	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Minnesota	21	b:e,n,x			
86	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Pomona ^b	28	y:1,7	13		37
87	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Urbana ^b	30	b:e,n,x			33
88	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Adelaide	35	f,g:-			
89	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Inverness	38	k:1,6			
90	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Champaign	39	k:1,5			
91	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Johannesburg	1,40	b:e,n,x	11		
92	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Waycross	41	z ₄ ,z ₂₃ :[e,n,z _{15]}			
93	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Kahla	1, 42	z ₃₅ :1,6			
94	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Houten	43	Z ₄ ,Z ₂₃ :-			
95	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Niarembe	44	a:l,w			
96	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Deversoir	45	c:e,n,x			
97	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Dahlem	48	k:e,n,z ₁₅			
98	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Wassenaar	50				
99	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Utrecht	52	d:1,5			
100	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Uccle	54	g,s,t:-			
101	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica Tranora	55	k:z ₃₉			
102	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Crossness	67	r:1,2			
103	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Weltevreden ^b	3 , {10}{15}	r:z ₆			7
104	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Tennessee⁵	6,7,14	z ₂₉ :[1,2,7]			9
105	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Rubislaw ^b	11	r:e,n,x			16
106	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Virchow ^b	6,7,14	r:1,2			20
107	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Hvittingfoss ^b	16	b:e,n,x			22
108	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Gaminara ^ь	16	d:1,7			23
109	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Aberdeen ^b	11	i:1,2			25
110	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Mgulani	38	i:1,2			26
111	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Havana ^b	1,13,23	f,g,[s]:-			30
112	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Wandsworth ^b	39	b:1,2			32
113	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Caracas⁵	[1],6,14,[25]	g,m,s:-			35
114	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Rissen ^ь	6,7,14	f,g:-			36
115	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Michigan ^ь	17	l,v:1,2			42
116	Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Meleagridis ^b	3,{10}{15}{15,34}	e,h;l,w			43

^a Number indicates numerical position on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) list.

^b Serotypes isolated from vegetable products, including spices. Courtesy of U.S. Food and Drug Administration-Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (FDA-CFSAN).

^c Strains identified from outbreaks, shorten dates as (2011 = "11"; 2012 = "12).

Table 4. Category test portion requirements

Table 5. Recommended secondary selective broths and agar

Category	Minimum test portion size, g ^a
Plants and flowers	10
Concentrates	5
Infused edibles	25
Infused nonedibles	10

^a Minimum test portion size required for validation. Alternatively, larger test portions may be validated.

Media name	Media type
Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) (alternately Rappaport-Vassiliadis R10)	Broth
Tetrathionate (TT)	Broth
Selenite cysteine (SC)	Broth
Xylose lysine desoxycholate (XLD)	Agar
Hektoen enteric (HE)	Agar
Bismuth sulfite (BS)	Agar
Chromogenic Salmonella	Agar
MacConkey	Agar

Table 6.	Validation acce	ptance criteria	(plants/flowers,	concentrates,	infused edibles	, infused nonedible	s)
				,			

Parameter	Requirement	Target test concn ^a	Minimum acceptable results	
	Single-laboratory validation	(SLV) with artificial contamination	n	
Fractional concentration (low level)	Replicates per matrix: 20 Inoculation procedure: AOAC Appendix J	Low level to produce fractional positive results Ex. 0.2–2 CFU/test portion	Fractional positive results, 25–75% (5–15 positive test replicates) dPOD _{CP} 95% CI: LCL < 0 < UCL ^b	
High concentration Replicates: 5 Inoculation procedure: AOAC Appendix J		High level to produce consistently positive results Ex. 2–10 CFU/test portion	POD of 1.00°	
Noninoculated (zero) Replicates: 5 concentration		0 CFU/test portion	POD of 0.00°	
	SLV with nat	ural contamination		
Acceptable minimum detection level (low level)	2 Separate lots of 20 replicates	NA	Fractional positive results, 25–75% (5–15 positive test replicates) for minimum 1 lot dPOD _{CP} 95% CI: LCL < 0 < UCL ^b	
	Multilabor	atory validation		
LPOD	Replicates: 12	1–10 CFU/test portion	0.15 ≥ LPOD ≥0.85 dPOD _{CP} 95% CI: LCL < 0 < UCL ^b	
	Replicates: 12	10–50 CFU/test portion	LPOD ≥0.95	
LPOD ₍₀₎	Replicates: 12	0 CFU/test portion	LPOD ≤0.05	

^a Determined through MPN procedures (see Table 8).

^b Range between lower and upper confidence interval should encompass 0. If not, results must be investigated and an explanation provided.

^c If acceptance criteria is not observed, results must be investigated and an explanation provided.

Table 7. Acceptable matrix claims

	Criteria	
Matrix claim No. of matrices		Minimum No. of categories
Broad range of cannabis and cannabis products	15 (Minimum 3 matrices/category)	4
Variety of cannabis and cannabis products	≥10 (Minimum 2 matrices/category)	4
Select cannabis products	≥5	2
Specific category	≥5	1
Specific matrix(s)	≥1	1

AOAC Technical Bulletin: TB02MAY2016: Acceptable Validation Claims for Proprietary/Commercial Microbiology Methods for Foods and Environmental Surfaces.

Table	8.	Minimum	most	probable	number ((MPN)
recom	mei	ndation				

	Inoculation	Tes	st portions,	g
Category	level	Large	Medium	Small
Plants and flowers concentrates	Low	20×10^{a}	3 × 5	3 × 1
	High	$5 imes 10^{a}$	3×5	3 × 1
Concentrates	Low	20×5	3 × 2.5	3 × 1
	High	$5\times5^{\text{a}}$	3 × 2.5	3 × 1
Infused edibles	Low	$20\times 25^{\text{a}}$	3 × 10	3×5
	High	$5\times 25^{\text{a}}$	3 × 10	3×5
Infused nonedibles	Low	$20 imes 10^{a}$	3×5	3 × 1
	High	$5 imes 10^{a}$	3×5	3 × 1

^a Test portions from matrix study.

Table 9. Condition of inoculating culture and stabilization of m	natrix
--	--------

Matrix	Inoculating cells	Stabilization conditions
Perishable product	Liquid nonstressed culture	4°C, 48–72 h
Heat-processed perishable product	Liquid heat stressed	4°C, 48–72 h
Frozen product	Liquid nonstressed culture (if frozen food is processed, cells must be heat stressed)	–20°C, 2 weeks
Shelf-stable dry product	Dried culture	Ambient temperature (20–25°C), 2 weeks
Shelf-stable liquid product (heat-processed)	Liquid nonstressed culture (if shelf stable product is processed, cells must be heat stressed)	Ambient temperature (20–25°C), 2 weeks

Table 10. Inclusivity/exclusivity performance requirements

Parameter	Requirement	Final test concn, CFU/mL	Minimum acceptable results
Inclusivity	Single-laboratory validation (SLV) study: Minimum of 100 strains is required to be cultured by candidate method enrichment procedure (including those detailed in Table 2)	$10-100 \times LOD$ of candidate method	100% positive results ^a
Exclusivity	SLV study: At least 30 nontarget organisms, cultured under optimal conditions for growth ^b	Overnight growth undiluted	100% negative results ^a

100% correct analyses are expected. All unexpected results are to be retested following internationally recognized guidelines (ISO 16140, AOAC OMA Appendix J, or *The Compendium of Analytical Methods of Health Canada*). Some unexpected results may be acceptable if the unexpected results are investigated, and acceptable explanations can be determined and communicated to method users. In instances where an exclusivity culture produces a positive result by the candidate method, the culture may be reanalyzed after culture following the candidate method enrichment procedure. Both results (optimal growth conditions and candidate method enrichment) must be reported. а

b