# AOAC SMPR 2010.001

# **Standard Method Performance Requirements** for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Methods for Detection of Francisella tularensis in Aerosol **Collection Filters and/or Liquids**

Intended Use: Laboratory use for analysis of aerosol collection filters and/or liquids

# Method Developer and Independent Validation

## Probability of Detection at the Acceptable Minimum Detection Level

### 1 Definitions

Probability of detection (POD) is the proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given agent level or concentration. POD is concentrationdependent. The acceptable minimum detection level (AMDL) is the predetermined minimum level of a biological threat agent, which must be detected by the candidate method with an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher. The AMDL is dependent on the intended use.

2 Test Conditions

AMDL is 20,000 standardized Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4 cells per filter; 2000 standardized cells per mL; 2000 genome equivalents per mL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

No more than one failure in 96 replicates.

## Inclusivity

## 1 Definition

Strains or isolates or variants of the target agent(s) that the method can detect (Table 1).

Table 1. Francisella tularensis PCR method: Inclusivity panel

# 2 Test Conditions

Test inclusivity panel at AMDL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results as defined for each strain on the panel. Note: In the case of a negative result, retest that strain 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher.

# Exclusivity

### 1 Definition

Nontarget agents, which are potentially cross-reactive, that are not detected by the method (Table 2).

## 2 Test Conditions

Test exclusivity near neighbor panel at 10 times AMDL.

# 3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results as defined for each strain on the panel.

Note: In the case of a positive result, retest that strain 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate a 95% upper confidence limit on the POD of 0.05 or lower.

# Environmental Interference

#### 1 Definition

Ability of the assay to detect target organism in the presence of nontarget organisms or environmental substances and to be free of cross-reaction from environmental organisms and substances (Annex A).

### 2 Test Conditions

Test pooled environmental panel organisms at 10 times AMDL in the presence or absence of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4 at the AMDL. Test environmental substances as suspensions in the presence or absence of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4 at the AMDL.

# 3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results for environmental organisms (i.e., no false negatives in the presence of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4, and no false positives in the absence of Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4).

| No. | UCC <sup>a</sup> ID | Genus and species      | Strain                            | Characteristics         |
|-----|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| FT1 | FRAN001             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. <i>tularensis</i>          | Type A2 (Type strain)   |
| FT2 | FRAN004             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. <i>holartica</i> (LVS)     | Type B (Russian)        |
| FT3 | FRAN012             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. <i>holartica</i>           | Type B (United States)  |
| FT4 | FRAN016             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. tularensis (SCHU S4)       | Type A1 (United States) |
| FT5 | FRAN024             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. holartica JAP (Cincinnati) | Type B (Japanese)       |
| FT6 | FRAN025             | Francisella holartica  | subsp. <i>tularensis</i> (VT68)   | Type B (United States)  |
| FT7 | FRAN029             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. <i>holartica</i> (425)     | Type B (United States)  |
| FT8 | FRAN031             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. <i>tularensis</i> (Scherm) | Type A1 (United States) |
| FT9 | FRAN072             | Francisella tularensis | subsp. tularensis (WY96)          | Type A2 (United States) |

<sup>a</sup> UCC = Department of Defense Unified Culture Collection; components available through Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository.

Approved by AOAC SPADA on January 22, 2009.

Table 2. Francisella tularensis PCR method: Exclusivity panel

| No.    | Species                  | Strain                         |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| FTNN1  | Francisella philomiragia | Jensen O#319L<br>ATCC 25015    |
| FTNN2  | Francisella philomiragia | Jensen O#319-029<br>ATCC 25016 |
| FTNN3  | Francisella philomiragia | Jensen O#319-036<br>ATCC 25017 |
| FTNN4  | Francisella philomiragia | Jensen O#319-067<br>ATCC 25018 |
| FTNN5  | Francisella philomiragia | D7533, GA012794                |
| FTNN6  | Francisella philomiragia | E9923, GA012801                |
| FTNN7  | Francisella novicida     | D9876, GA993548                |
| FTNN8  | Francisella novicida     | F6168, GA993549                |
| FTNN9  | Francisella novicida     | U112, GA993550                 |
| FTNN10 | Wolbachia persica        | (Johns Hopkins)                |

Approved by AOAC SPADA on January 22, 2009.

*Note*: In the case of an unexpected result, retest individual strains 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher. Data from environmental substances are for informational purposes only.

## **Collaborative Validation Study**

### Reproducibility

### 1 Definition

Precision under conditions where independent test results are obtained with the same methods on equivalent test items in different laboratories with different operators using separate instruments.

## 2 Test Conditions

Test *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* Schu-S4 at AMDL and near neighbor organism at 10 times AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per material per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

## 3 Acceptance Criteria

Must produce at least 10 valid data sets. Report standard deviation of reproducibility  $(s_{\mu})$ .

# POD at the AMDL Under Reproducibility Conditions (formerly termed System False-Negative Rate)

### 1 Definition

Rate of positive system results in a population of known positive test portions.

# 2 Test Conditions

Test *Francisella tularensis* subsp. *tularensis* Schu-S4 at AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per matrix per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

### 3 Acceptance Criteria

Data for target agent must demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the CPOD of 0.95 or higher, where CPOD is the probability of detection calculated from pooled valid collaborative data.

### POD in the Absence of Analyte Under Reproducibility Conditions (formerly termed System False-Positive Rate)

### 1 Definition

Rate of positive system results in a population of known negative test portions.

# 2 Test Conditions

Test near neighbor organism at 10 times AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per matrix per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

## 3 Acceptance Criteria

Data for near neighbor must demonstrate a 95% upper confidence limit on the CPOD of 0.05 or lower, where CPOD is the probability of detection calculated from pooled valid collaborative data.

### Acknowledgments

All or part of this work was funded by the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate, award HSHQDC-08-C-00012.

AOAC SPADA approved PCR SMPRs as amended on January 22, 2009. PCR SMPRs (version 4) were revised on May 12, 2009 to reflect OMB proposal and to correct retest statistics. The final version as shown here was approved by SPADA on June 2, 2010 and contained revision to OMB requirement of 10 valid data sets for qualitative methods in the collaborative study.

# ANNEX A Environmental Factors Panel

### Organisms

1 Other Biothreat Agents

Bacillus anthracis Ames Yersinia pestis Colorado-92 Burkholderia pseudomallei Coxiella burnetii Nine Mile Phase I Brucella melitensis Ricinus communis (use ricin plant leaves as source of DNA) Clostridium botulinum Type A

2 Cultivatable Bacteria Identified as Being Present in Air and Soil

Acinetobacter lwoffii Agrobacterium tumefaciens Bacillus cohnii Bacillus psychrosaccharolyticus Bacillus benzoevorans Bacillus megaterium Bacillus horikoshii Bacillus macroides Bacteroides fragilis Burkholderia cepacia Burkholderia gladoli Burkholderia stabilis Burkholderia plantarii Chryseobacterium indologenes Clostridium sardiniense Clostridium perfringens Deinococcus radiodurans Delftia acidovorans Escherichia coli K12 Fusobacterium nucleatum Lactobacillus plantarum Moraxella nonliquefaciens Mycobacterium smegmatis Neisseria lactamica Pseudomonas aeruginosa Rhodobacter sphaeroides Riemerella anatipestifer Shewanella oneidensis Staphylococcus aureus Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Streptococcus pneumoniae Streptomyces coelicolor Synechocystis Vibrio cholerae Legionella pneumophila Listeria monocytogenes

3 DNA Viruses

Vaccinia virus (pox) Adenovirus vaccine Herpes simplex or CMV (whichever is available) 4 *Microbial Eukaryotes* 

## Freshwater Amoebae

Acanthamoeba castellanii Naegleria fowleri

# Fungi

Alternaria alternata Aspergillus fumigatis Aureobasidium pullulans Cladosporium cladosporioides Cladosporium sphaerospermum Epicoccum nigrum Eurotium amstelodami Mucor racemosus Paecilomyces variotii Penicillum chrysogenum Saccharomyces cerevisiae Wallemia sebi 5 DNA from Higher Eukaryotes

### Plants

Zea mays (corn) Pollen from *Pinus* spp. (pine) Cotton (use leaves from cotton plant as source of DNA)

### Arthropods

Aedes aegypti (ATCC/CCL-125) mosquito cell line Aedes albopictus (C6/36) mosquito Dust mite (commercial source) Flea (Rocky Mountain labs) Drosophila cell line Musca domestica (housefly; ARS, USDA, Fargo, ND) Gypsy moth cell lines LED652Y cell line (baculovirus; Invitrogen) Cockroach (commercial source) Tick (Ambylomma)

### Mammals

Mus musculus (ATCC/HB-123) mouse Rattus norvegicus (ATCC/CRL-1896) rat Canis familiarus (ATCC/CCL-183) dog Felis catus (ATCC/CRL-8727) cat Homo sapiens (HeLa) human

## Avian

Chicken

6 Biological Insecticides

B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis B. thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki B. thuringiensis subsp. morrisoni Gypcheck for gypsy moths (Lymanteria dispar nuclear polyhedrosis virus) Cyd-X for coddling moths (Coddling moth granulosis virus) Substances

1 Soils Sandy Loam Clay Subsoil Silt 2 Dust

# 3 Powders and Chemicals

Bacillus thuringiensis powders (e.g., Dipel) Powdered milk Powdered infant formula (Fe fortified) Powdered infant formula (low Fe formulation) Powdered coffee creamer Powdered sugar Talcum powder Wheat flour Baking soda Chalk dust Brewer's yeast Dry wall dust Cornstarch Baking powder GABA (Gama aminobutyric acid) L-Glutamic acid Kaolin Chitin Chitosan MgSO<sub>4</sub> Boric acid Powdered toothpaste Popcorn salt

| EDTA  |  |
|-------|--|
| Rid-X |  |
| ZEP   |  |

The Environmental Factors Panel was originally approved in parts. SPADA approved the environmental organisms panel on December 13, 2007, and revised it on September 17, 2008. The soils were approved on January 22, 2009. The powders and chemicals were originally approved by SPADA on December 13, 2007, and revised on January 22, 2009. The entire Environmental Factors Panel was approved in final form as presented here on June 2, 2010.