AOAC SMPR 2010.003

Standard Method Performance Requirements for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Methods for Detection of *Bacillus anthracis* in Aerosol Collection Filters and/or Liquids

Intended Use: Laboratory use for analysis of aerosol collection filters and/or liquids

Method Developer and Independent Validation

Probability of Detection at the Acceptable Minimum Detection Level

1 Definitions

Probability of detection (POD) is the proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given agent level or concentration. POD is concentration-dependent. The acceptable minimum detection level (AMDL) is the predetermined minimum level of a biological threat agent, which must be detected by the candidate method with an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher. The AMDL is dependent on the intended use.

2 Test Conditions

AMDL is 20,000 standardized *Bacillus anthracis* Ames spores per filter; 2000 standardized spores per mL; 2000 genome equivalents per mL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

No more than one failure in 96 replicates.

Inclusivity

1 Definition

Strains or isolates or variants of the target agent(s) that the method can detect (Table 1).

2 Test Conditions

Test inclusivity panel at AMDL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results as defined for each strain on the panel.

Note: In the case of a negative result, retest that strain 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher.

Exclusivity

1 Definition

Nontarget agents, which are potentially cross-reactive, that are not detected by the method (Table 2).

2 Test Conditions

Test exclusivity near neighbor panel at 10 times AMDL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results as defined for each strain on the panel.

Note: In the case of a positive result, retest that strain 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate a 95% upper confidence limit on the POD of 0.05 or lower.

Table 1. Bacillus anthracis PCR method: Inclusivity panel

| No. | Cluster | Genotype | Strain | MRI No.ª | Origin | Characteristics |
|------|---------|---------------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| BA1 | A1a | 7 | Canadian bison | 107448 | Wood bison | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A1a |
| BA2 | АЗа | 45 ^b | V770-NP-1R | 107240 | Vaccine (USA) | pX01+, pX02-, VNTR genotype group A3a |
| BA3 | A2 | 29 | PAK-1 | 107518 | Sheep (Pakistan) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A2 |
| BA4 | АЗа | 51 | BA1015 | 107446 | Bovine (MD) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A3a |
| BA5 | A3b | 62 | Ames | 107517 | Bovine (Texas) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A3b |
| BA6 | A3c | 67 | K3 | 107497 | South Africa | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A3c |
| BA7 | A3d | 68 | Ohio ACB | 107339 | Pig | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A3d |
| BA8 | A4 | 69 | SK-102 (Pakistan) | 107449 | Imported wool (Pakistan) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A4 |
| BA9 | A4 | 77 | Vollum 1B | 107539 | USAMRIID ^a | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A4 |
| BA10 | B1 | 82 | BA1035 | 107451 | Human (South Africa) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group B1 |
| BA11 | B2 | 80 | RA3 | 107520 | Bovine (France) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group B2 |
| BA12 | С | Unk⁵ | 2002013094 (240) | 124030 | Louisiana | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group C |
| BA13 | A1a | 8 | Pasteur | 107171 | USAMRIID | pX01-, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A1a |
| BA14 | A3b | 59, 61 ^b | Sterne | 107453 | USAMRIID | pX01+, pX02-, VNTR genotype group A3b |
| BA15 | A1b | 23 | Turkey No. 32 | 107255 | Human (Turkey) | pX01+, pX02+, VNTR genotype group A1b |

^a MRI = MRI Global; USAMRIID = The United States Army Medical Research Institute For Infectious Diseases. Approved by AOAC SPADA on April 24, 2007.

^b Organism contains only seven of eight MLVA markers due to the lack of pX02. Genotypes listed are consistent with seven of the eight markers. (*Note*: Footnote applies to BA2 and BA14 genotype designations.)

c Unk = Unknown.

Table 2. Bacillus anthracis PCR method: Exclusivity panel

| No. | Species | Strain | Plasmid status |
|--------|------------------|----------------------------|---|
| BANN1 | B. cereus | S2-8 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN2 | B. cereus | 3A | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN3 | B. thuringiensis | HD1011 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN4 | B. thuringiensis | 97-27 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN5 | B. thuringiensis | HD682 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN6 | B. cereus | E33L | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN7 | B. cereus | D17 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN8 | B. thuringiensis | HD571 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN9 | B. cereus | Al Hakam | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN10 | B. cereus | ATCC 4342 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN11 | B. cereus | FM1 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN12 | B. cereus | G9241 | pBCXO1+a, pXO2- |
| BANN13 | B. cereus | 03BB102 | pXO1+, capA+, capB+, capC+ ^b |
| BANN14 | B. cereus | 03BB108 | pXO1+, capA+, capB+, capC+ ^b |
| BANN15 | B. thuringiensis | subsp. israelensis HD 1002 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN16 | B. thuringiensis | subsp. kurstaki HD 1 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN17 | B. thuringiensis | subsp. morrisoni HD 600 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN18 | B. coagulans | ATCC 7050 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN19 | B. mycoides | ATCC 6462 | pXO1-, pXO2- |
| BANN20 | B. megaterium | ATCC 14581 | pXO1-, pXO2- |

^a pBCXO1 is pXO1-like, but not identical.

Environmental Interference

1 Definition

Ability of the assay to detect target organism in the presence of nontarget organisms or environmental substances and to be free of cross-reaction from environmental organisms and substances (*Annex A*).

2 Test Conditions

Test pooled environmental panel organisms at 10 times AMDL in the presence or absence of *Bacillus anthracis* Ames at the AMDL. Test environmental substances as suspensions in the presence or absence of *Bacillus anthracis* Ames at the AMDL.

3 Acceptance Criteria

100% expected results for environmental organisms (i.e., no false negatives in the presence of *Bacillus anthracis* Ames, and no false positives in the absence of *Bacillus anthracis* Ames).

Note: In the case of an unexpected result, retest individual strains 96 times with no failures allowed to demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the POD of 0.95 or higher. Data from environmental substances are for informational purposes only.

Collaborative Validation Study

Reproducibility

1 Definition

Precision under conditions where independent test results are obtained with the same methods on equivalent test items in different laboratories with different operators using separate instruments.

2 Test Conditions

Test *Bacillus anthracis* Ames spores at AMDL and near neighbor organism at 10 times AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per material per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

3 Acceptance Criteria

Must produce at least 10 valid data sets. Report standard deviation of reproducibility (s_n) .

POD at the AMDL Under Reproducibility Conditions (formerly termed System False-Negative Rate)

1 Definition

Rate of positive system results in a population of known positive test portions.

^b capA, B, and C are contained within the pXO2 plasmid of *Bacillus anthracis*; however, only the capA, B, and C sequences are found in 03BB102 and 03BB108. Approved by AOAC SPADA on December 12, 2007.

2 Test Conditions

Test *Bacillus anthracis* Ames spores at AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per matrix per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

3 Acceptance Criteria

Data for target agent must demonstrate an estimated 5% lower confidence limit on the CPOD of 0.95 or higher, where CPOD is the probability of detection calculated from pooled valid collaborative data.

POD in the Absence of Analyte Under Reproducibility Conditions (formerly termed System False-Positive Rate)

1 Definition

Rate of positive system results in a population of known negative test portions.

2 Test Conditions

Test near neighbor organism at 10 times AMDL on dust-loaded filters or in dust-loaded aerosol collection liquid. At least 12 replicates per matrix per collaborator with 12 collaborators (four collaborators at each of three test sites).

3 Acceptance Criteria

Data for near neighbor must demonstrate a 95% upper confidence limit on the CPOD of 0.05 or lower, where CPOD is the probability of detection calculated from pooled valid collaborative data.

Acknowledgments

All or part of this work was funded by the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate, award HSHQDC-08-C-00012.

AOAC SPADA approved PCR SMPRs as amended on January 22, 2009. PCR SMPRs (version 4) were revised on May 12, 2009 to reflect OMB proposal and to correct retest statistics. The final version as shown here was approved by SPADA on June 2, 2010 and contained revision to OMB requirement of 10 valid data sets for qualitative methods in the collaborative study.

ANNEX A Environmental Factors Panel

Organisms

1 Other Biothreat Agents

Yersinia pestis Colorado-92

Francisella tularensis subsp. tularensis Schu-S4

Burkholderia pseudomallei

Coxiella burnetii Nine Mile Phase I

Brucella melitensis

Ricinus communis (use ricin plant leaves as source of DNA)

Clostridium botulinum Type A

2 Cultivatable Bacteria Identified as Being Present in Air and Soil

Acinetobacter lwoffii Agrobacterium tumefaciens Bacillus cohnii Bacillus psychrosaccharolyticus Bacillus benzoevorans Bacillus megaterium

Bacillus horikoshii

Bacillus macroides

Bacteroides fragilis

Burkholderia cepacia

Burkholderia gladoli

Burkholderia stabilis

Burkholderia plantarii

Chryseobacterium indologenes

Clostridium sardiniense

Clostridium perfringens

Deinococcus radiodurans

Delftia acidovorans

Escherichia coli K12

Fusobacterium nucleatum

Lactobacillus plantarum

Moraxella nonliquefaciens

Mycobacterium smegmatis

Neisseria lactamica

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Rhodobacter sphaeroides

Riemerella anatipestifer

Shewanella oneidensis

Staphylococcus aureus

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia

Streptococcus pneumoniae

Streptomyces coelicolor

Synechocystis

Vibrio cholerae

Legionella pneumophila

Listeria monocytogenes

3 DNA Viruses

Vaccinia virus (pox)

Adenovirus vaccine

Herpes simplex or CMV (whichever is available)

4 Microbial Eukaryotes

Freshwater Amoebae

Acanthamoeba castellanii Naegleria fowleri

Fungi

Alternaria alternata

Aspergillus fumigatis

Aureobasidium pullulans

Cladosporium cladosporioides

Cladosporium sphaerospermum

Epicoccum nigrum

Eurotium amstelodami

Mucor racemosus

Paecilomyces variotii

Penicillum chrysogenum

Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Wallemia sebi

5 DNA from Higher Eukaryotes

Plants

Zea mays (corn)

Pollen from Pinus spp. (pine)

Cotton (use leaves from cotton plant as source of DNA)

Arthropods

Aedes aegypti (ATCC/CCL-125) mosquito cell line

Aedes albopictus (C6/36) mosquito

Dust mite (commercial source)

Flea (Rocky Mountain labs)

Drosophila cell line

Musca domestica (housefly; ARS, USDA, Fargo, ND)

Gypsy moth cell lines LED652Y cell line (baculovirus;

Invitrogen)

Cockroach (commercial source)

Tick (Amblyomma)

Mammals

Mus musculus (ATCC/HB-123) mouse Rattus norvegicus (ATCC/CRL-1896) rat Canis familiarus (ATCC/CCL-183) dog Felis catus (ATCC/CRL-8727) cat Homo sapiens (HeLa) human

Avian

Chicken

6 Biological Insecticides

B. thuringiensis subsp. israelensis

B. thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki

B. thuringiensis subsp. morrisoni

Gypcheck for gypsy moths (*Lymanteria dispar* nuclear polyhedrosis virus)

Cyd-X for coddling moths (Coddling moth granulosis virus)

Substances

1 Soils

Sandy

Loam

Clay

Subsoil Silt 2 Dust

3 Powders and Chemicals

Bacillus thuringiensis powders (e.g., Dipel)

Powdered milk

Powdered infant formula (Fe fortified)

Powdered infant formula (low Fe formulation)

Powdered coffee creamer

Powdered sugar Talcum powder Wheat flour Baking soda Chalk dust Brewer's yeast Dry wall dust

Cornstarch Baking powder

GABA (Gama aminobutyric acid)

L-Glutamic acid

Kaolin Chitin Chitosan MgSO₄ Boric acid

Powdered toothpaste

Popcorn salt EDTA ZEP Rid-X

The Environmental Factors Panel was originally approved in parts. SPADA approved the environmental organisms panel on December 13, 2007, and revised it on September 17, 2008. The soils were approved on January 22, 2009. The powders and chemicals were originally approved by SPADA on December 13, 2007, and revised on January 22, 2009. The entire Environmental Factors Panel was approved in final form as presented here on June 2, 2010.