AOAC SMPR® 2017.020

Standard Method Performance Requirements (SMPRs®) for Quantitation of Chicken Egg by ELISA-Based Methods

Intended Use: Quantitation of Chicken Egg in the Context of Food Manufacturing

1 Purpose

AOAC SMPRs describe the minimum recommended performance characteristics to be used during the evaluation of a method. The evaluation may be an on-site verification, a single-laboratory validation, or a multi-site collaborative study. SMPRs are written and adopted by AOAC stakeholder panels composed of representatives from industry, regulatory organizations, contract laboratories, test kit manufacturers, and academic institutions. AOAC SMPRs are used by AOAC expert review panels in their evaluation of validation study data for method being considered for Performance Tested Methods® or AOAC Official Methods of Analysis®, and can be used as acceptance criteria for verification at user laboratories.

2 Applicability

Quantitation of chicken egg in one or more food(s) such as those listed in Table 3 of Appendix M (1).

3 Analytical Technique

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based assays (see definition in section 4).

4 Definitions

Egg.—A combination of [chicken] egg whites and egg yolks in their entirety, in natural proportions (2). For the purposes of this SMPR, egg is referred to in its dry form as represented by existing reference materials.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).—For the purposes of this document, ELISA is defined as “an analytical procedure characterized by the recognition and binding of specific antigens by antibodies” (1). This definition is not meant to be restrictive and encompasses other related binding-based technologies.

Limit of detection (LOD).—The lowest concentration or mass of analyte in a test sample that can be distinguished from a true blank sample at a specified probability level (1).

Limit of quantitation (LOQ).—The lowest level of analyte in a test sample that can be quantified at a specified level of precision (1).

Recovery.—The fraction or percentage of analyte that is recovered when the test sample is analyzed using the entire method.

Repeatability.—Variation arising when all efforts are made to keep conditions constant by using the same instrument and operator (in the same laboratory) and repeating during a short time period. Expressed as the repeatability standard deviation (SD); or % repeatability relative standard deviation (%RSD) (3).

Reproducibility.—Variation arising when identical test materials are analyzed in different laboratory by different operators on different instruments. The standard deviation or relative standard deviation calculated from among-laboratory data. Expressed as the reproducibility standard deviation (SD); or % reproducibility relative standard deviation (%RSD) (3).

5 Method Performance Requirements

See Table 1.

6 System Suitability Tests and/or Analytical Quality Control

See information on antibodies, cross reactivity, calibrators, and matrices in section “Required Allergen-Specific Information to Be Provided on the ELISA Method” of Appendix M (1).

7 Reference Material(s)


Chicken egg.—NIST 8445 (Spray-dried whole egg for allergen detection)

8 Validation Guidance

Method developers must provide:

(1) Data for method performance in all the claimed matrices

(2) Recovery data using incurred samples for all claimed matrices


9 References


(3) ISO 5725-1:1994

ANNEX A: Choice of LOD/LOQ for Quantitation of Chicken Egg by ELISA-Based Methods

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) are selected based on user requirements.

The proposed limits in the SMPR constitute minimum requirements for food allergen testing in the targeted matrices as part of a food processing control. Assay users or developers may want to consider assays with a broader performance range, e.g. more sensitive and/or broader range, than the minimum acceptance criteria, as needed by their applications. For example, some users may seek the lower bound of the analytical range to correspond with either a regulatory or a health-driven threshold limit.

Only a few jurisdictions such as Japan have set a regulatory limit of 10 ppm protein for all their priority allergens. Other jurisdictions attempt to rely on risk-based thresholds for the various priority allergens. Nonetheless, recent developments in reference doses have been used by food manufacturers and others as part of risk management approaches that are developed by the food industry sector in Australia and New-Zealand. Even with this new information, the food safety risk assessment community has not adopted a validated food allergen reference or benchmark doses, which can be applied consistently by food regulators and food manufacturers in allergen-related health risk assessments and the management of precautionary allergen labeling.

The Voluntary Incidental Trace Allergen Labeling (VITAL) initiative of the Allergen Bureau in Australia and New-Zealand developed an open and transparent scientific approach1 using reference doses for allergen risk characterization, taking into account of clinical food allergen challenge studies. For each priority allergen targeted, a reference dose is defined as the milligram protein level (total protein from an allergenic food) below which only the most sensitive individuals (between 1% and 5% depending on the quality of the data set available) in the allergic population are likely to experience an adverse reaction. For example, in VITAL 2.0 the reference doses are currently set at 0.2 mg protein for peanut, 0.1 mg protein for milk, and 0.03 mg protein for egg.

These reference doses are used to generate action levels for food allergen control, taking into account the serving size of the food in an eating occasion. Analytical targets may therefore be set at such action levels or lower. For example, the LOQ or action level for egg protein potentially present in a food consumed at a 100 g serving size would be 0.3 ppm (0.03 mg protein in 100 g).

Table 1. Method performance requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Minimum acceptance criteria for target matrix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical range, ppm*</td>
<td>Lower limit ≤5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ, ppmb</td>
<td>≤5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD, ppmb</td>
<td>≤5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery, %c</td>
<td>50–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDr, %</td>
<td>≤20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDu, %</td>
<td>≤30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ppm dried egg.

b See “Choice of LOD/LOQ for Quantitation of Chicken Egg by ELISA-Based Methods” for rationale for setting lower limit of range.

c Using incurred samples (acceptance criteria in Appendix M; see ref. 1).