

2  
3 **Method Name:**           **Standard Method Performance Requirements for Viable Yeast and Mold**  
4                                   **Count Enumeration in Cannabis and Cannabis Products**  
5

6 **Purpose:** AOAC *Standard Method Performance Requirements*<sup>SM</sup> (SMPRs) describe the minimum  
7 recommended performance characteristics to be used during the evaluation of a method. The  
8 evaluation may be an on-site verification, a single-laboratory validation, or a multi-site collaborative  
9 study. SMPRs are written and adopted by AOAC stakeholder panels composed of representatives from  
10 industry, regulatory organizations, contract laboratories, test kit manufacturers, and academic  
11 institutions. AOAC SMPRs are used by AOAC expert review panels in their evaluation of validation study  
12 data for method being considered for *Performance Tested Methods*<sup>SM</sup> or AOAC *Official Methods of*  
13 *Analysis*<sup>SM</sup> and can be used as acceptance criteria for verification at user laboratories.<sup>1</sup>  
14

15 Approval Body:           AOAC Cannabis Analytical Science Program

16 Approval Date:

17 Final version date:

18  
19 **1. Intended Use:**       Consensus-based Reference method.  
20

21 **2. Applicability:** Candidate methods used to detect and quantify viable yeast and mold in cannabis  
22 and/or cannabis products. Candidate methods may be validated for specific matrices, categories or  
23 broader claims.  
24

25 See Table 4 for acceptable matrix/category claims.  
26

27 **3. Analytical Technique:** Any analytical technique that meets the method performance requirements  
28 is acceptable.  
29

30 **4. Definitions:**  
31

32 **Candidate Method.**— The method submitted for validation<sup>2</sup>  
33

34 **Candidate Method Presumptive Result.**—Preliminary result for a test portion produced by following  
35 a candidate method's instructions for use.  
36

37 **Candidate Method Confirmed Result.**—Final result obtained for a test portion after cultural  
38 confirmation of a candidate method.  
39

40 **Cannabis.**—genus of flowering plants within the *Cannabinaceae* family that commonly contain 9-  
41 tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), cannabidiol (CBD), and other cannabinoids and terpenes. Cannabis  
42 includes, but is not limited to, high-THC and high-CBD cultivars.  
43

44 **Cannabis Concentrates.**—Extracts (primarily composed of cannabinoids and/or terpenes)  
45 manufactured through the extraction and concentration of compounds derived from the cannabis  
46 plant or flower. Final products can be many forms including oils, wax, or hash (Category II).  
47

48 **Cannabis Infused Edibles.**—Food and drinks containing extracts of cannabis and/or cannabis  
49 materials (Category III).

50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97

**Cannabis Infused Non-Edibles.**—Products containing extracts of cannabis and/or cannabis materials intended to be applied to the human body or any part thereof. Final products can be many forms including creams, ointments, cosmetics and therapeutic pads (Category IV).

**Cannabis Plant and Flower.**—General terms for the structural and flowering unadulterated parts of the cannabis plant (Category I).

**Cannabis Products.**—Products (Edible, and non-edible) extracted or infused with compounds derived from the cannabis plant including but not limited to CBD and THC.

**Confidence Interval.** —The estimated range in which an obtained result should enclose the actual concentration. For the purpose of this SMPR, a 90% and/or 95% confidence interval is used, depending on the type of method validated.

**Probability of detection (POD).**—The portion of positive analytical outcomes for a semi-quantitative method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration. This difference in POD values between presumptive and confirmed results is termed  $dPOD_{CP}$ .

**Enumeration.** – The determination of the number of viable microorganisms in a given test portion.

**Exclusivity.**—Study involving nontarget strains, some of which are potentially cross-reactive, that are not detected or enumerated by the candidate method. See Table 8 for a list of recommended nontarget strains.<sup>2</sup>

**Inclusivity.**—Study involving pure target strains that can be detected or enumerated by the candidate method. See Table 7 for a list of recommended target strains.<sup>2</sup>

**Laboratory probability of detection (LPOD).**—The POD value obtained from combining all valid collaborator data sets for a method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration.<sup>3</sup>

**Lower Confidence Limit (LCL).**—Lower confidence limit.

**Limit of Detection.** - The analyte concentration at which the probability of detection (POD) is equal to 50%.

**Limit of Quantitation.** - lowest analyte concentration that can be quantified with an acceptable level of precision and trueness under the conditions of the test.

**Quantitative Method.** – Method of analysis whose response is the amount (count or mass) of the analyte measured either directly (e.g., enumeration in a mass or a volume), or indirectly (e.g., color absorbance, impedance, etc.) in a specified test portion.<sup>2</sup>

**Test portion.**—The test portion is the sample size used in most validation studies. For cannabis flower/plant and cannabis infused non-edible products a 10 g test portion is used. For cannabis concentrates, a 5 g test portion is used. For cannabis infused edibles, a 25 g test portion is used. A larger test portion can be used in validation studies when appropriate.<sup>4,5</sup> See Table 3 for minimum test portion requirements.

98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147

**Upper Confidence Limit (UCL).** —Upper confidence limit.

**5. System suitability tests and/or analytical quality control:**

The use of controls shall be embedded in assays as appropriate. Inhibition controls should be used for method verification for each new matrix. Manufacturer must provide written justification if the use of controls is not appropriate to an assay.

**6. Reference Material(s):**

The use of live cultures and/or fungal spores (liquid stressed/non-stressed, lyophilized) is required for inclusivity and exclusivity testing and for inoculation of test matrices during the matrix studies. Extracted DNA is not suitable for use in validating methods against this SMPR but may be used to develop supplemental information.

**7. Validation Guidance<sup>2,6</sup>:**

At the time of the publication, no national reference method exists for viable yeast and mold count determination from cannabis products. Until a suitable reference method is established the following is recommended for method developers:

Natural contamination, artificial contamination, or a combination of both are acceptable for the validation study. Method developers must specify which mode of contamination is used for which sample subset upon method submission. If artificial contamination is used, a non-inoculated contamination level must be included, and matrix naturally contaminated with non-target organisms (when available) shall be used. Additionally, if artificial contamination is used for the matrix study, an inoculating culture containing at least five different organisms (two fungal organisms, two yeast organisms, and another target organism of choice) that are co-inoculated must be included.

When performing the validation, use of bulk contaminated test material or bulk inoculation of test material is required. In certain instances (ex. therapeutic patches) individual item inoculation may be required (reference Table 5).

It is recommended that in addition to the required confirmatory agar, Dichloran Rose-Bengal Chloramphenicol agar (DRBC), a secondary, non-selective agar is included in the cultural confirmation portion of the study and that suitability testing of media be performed.<sup>4,7,8</sup>

For quantitative methods, at least three contamination levels (low, medium, and high) shall be included. The concentration of target organisms in each contamination level may be determined by the method developer. Each contamination level should be prepared to have a concentration of target organisms that is approximately one logarithmic different from adjacent contamination levels. It is expected that when applicable, contamination levels align with local regulatory requirements and thresholds.

Data Analysis (reference Table 2):

148 For the data analysis portion of the method, the following guidance should be followed per method  
149 type:

150  
151 *Quantitative Methods:*

- 152 a. Each lot of matrix must be analyzed separately for each candidate and confirmation  
153 method.
- 154 b. Perform a logarithmic transformation on the reported CFU/g:  $\text{Log}_{10}[\text{CFU/g} + 0.1]$   
155 i. Where 0.1 provides an offset to allow inclusion of “0 CFU/g” data points.
- 156 c. Perform outlier tests (Cochran and Grubbs). Remove outliers from data analysis only if  
157 there is a justifiable cause.
- 158 d. Plot the candidate method result (y-axis) vs. the culture result (x-axis). Usually major  
159 discrepancies will appear.
- 160 e. Calculate repeatability as the standard deviation of replicates at each concentration of  
161 each matrix for each method.

$$s_r = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}}$$

- 162
- 163
- 164
- 165
- 166
- 167 f. Calculate the relative standard deviation:  
168  $\text{RSD}_r = [s_r/\text{mean}_{\text{cand}}] \times 100$
- 169 g. Calculate the mean difference between the candidate and reference method  $\text{log}_{10}$   
170 transformed results with 90% and 95% confidence intervals for matrix at each  
171 contamination level using the Least Cost Formulations, Ltd., Paired Method Analysis for  
172 Micro Testing Excel worksheet v1.2.
- 173 h. Prepare a summary table of mean,  $s_r$ ,  $\text{RSD}_r$  and difference of means with 90% and 95%  
174 CI data.

175  
176 *Qualitative Methods:*

- 177 a. Analyze the data by Probability of Detection (POD) statistics according to the AOAC  
178 OMA Appendix J Guidelines.<sup>2</sup>
- 179 b. Analyze each contamination level separately.
- 180 c. Calculate the probability of detection (POD) as the number of positive outcomes divided  
181 by the total number of trials. Estimate the POD with a 95% confidence interval for:  
182 i. the candidate method presumptive results ( $\text{POD}_{\text{CP}}$ ), and  
183 ii. the candidate method confirmed results ( $\text{POD}_{\text{CC}}$ ).
- 184 d. Compare the presumptive and confirmed results by determining the  $\text{dPOD}_{\text{CP}}$  at each  
185 contamination level and report results:

$$\text{dPOD}_{\text{CP}} = \text{POD}_{\text{CP}} - \text{POD}_{\text{CC}}$$

186  
187  
188 where  $\text{dPOD}_{\text{CP}}$  is the difference in POD values between the candidate and  
189 reference methods. If the confidence interval of a  $\text{dPOD}$  does not contain  
190 zero, then the difference is statistically significant at the 5% level. For this  
191 validation, method comparisons are paired.

192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197 **8. Method Performance Requirements:**

198 See Table 1 for contamination levels and replicates required for quantitative and qualitative  
199 candidate methods.  
200 See Table 2 for validation data analysis and acceptance criteria.  
201 See Table 3 category test portion requirements.  
202 See Table 4 for acceptable matrix claims.  
203 See Table 5 condition of inoculating culture and stabilization of matrix for inoculation.  
204 See Table 6 for inclusivity and exclusivity performance requirements.  
205 See Table 7 for the inclusivity panel.  
206 See Table 8 for the recommended exclusivity panel.  
207  
208  
209

DRAFT

210 **Table 1. Contamination Levels and Replicates Required for Quantitative and Qualitative Candidate**  
 211 **Methods**  
 212

Type of Method	Contamination Type	Contamination Level	Replicates/ Method	Cultural Confirmation
Quantitative	Natural Contamination/ Artificial Contamination	Low	5	DRBC <sup>d</sup> 25°C 5-7 days
		Medium	5	
		High	5	
Qualitative	Natural Contamination/ Artificial Contamination	Below Lowest Threshold <sup>a</sup>	5	
		Low Action Limit <sup>b</sup>	20	
		High Action Limit <sup>b</sup>	20	
		Above Highest Threshold <sup>c</sup>	5	

- 213 a. A lower contamination level targeted to be approximately one logarithmic difference from the lowest  
 214 threshold determined in b.  
 215 b. Target threshold to determine presence or absence at a set threshold, or a detected or non-detected,  
 216 result  
 217 c. A higher contamination level targeted to be approximately one logarithmic difference from the highest  
 218 threshold determined in b.  
 219 d. Dichloran Rose-Bengal Chloramphenicol  
 220

221 **Table 2. Validation Data Analysis and Acceptance Criteria (Plants/Flowers, Concentrates, Infused**  
 222 **Edibles, Infused Non-Edibles)**  
 223

Type of Method	Contamination Level	Required Performance Characteristics	Acceptance Criteria/Confidence Interval
Quantitative	Low	Mean Repeatability ( $s_r$ ) Relative Standard Deviation (RSD <sub>r</sub> ) Difference of Means	Report LCL and UCL Statistics at 90% & 95% Confidence Intervals <sup>d</sup>
	Medium		
	High		
Qualitative	Below Lowest Threshold	Probability of Detection Statistics <sup>a</sup> $dPOD_{CP} = POD_{CP} - POD_{CC}$	POD of 0.00 <sup>c</sup>
	Low Action Limit		dPOD <sub>CP</sub> 95% CI: LCL < 0 < UCL <sup>b</sup>
	High Action Limit		
	Above Highest Threshold		POD of 1.00 <sup>c</sup>

- 224 a. Per AOAC OMA Appendix J Guidelines<sup>2</sup> ([http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app\\_j.pdf](http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_j.pdf)).  $dPOD_{CP}$  = difference in  
 225 probability of detection between candidate presumptive and candidate confirmation methods  
 226 b. The range between the lower and upper confidence interval should encompass 0, if not, the results must  
 227 be investigated, and an explanation provided.  
 228 c. If acceptance criteria is not observed, results must be investigated, and an explanation provided.  
 229 d. LCL and UCL for 90% and 95% confidence intervals for quantitative methods must fall within -0.5, 0.5  
 230  
 231  
 232

233  
234  
235  
236  
237

**Table 3. Category Test Portion Requirements**

Category Number	Category Name	Minimum Test Portion Size <sup>a</sup>
I	Plants & Flowers	10 g
II	Concentrates	5 g
III	Infused Edibles	25 g
IV	Infused Non-Edibles	10 g

<sup>a</sup>Minimum test portion size required for validation. Alternatively, larger test portions may be validated.

238  
239  
240  
241

**Table 4. Acceptable Matrix Claims<sup>9</sup>**

Matrix Claim	Criteria	Minimum Number of Categories
	Number of Matrices	Minimum Number of Categories
Broad Range of Cannabis & Cannabis Products	15 (minimum 3 matrices/category)	4 categories
Variety of Cannabis & Cannabis Products	≥ 10 (minimum 2 matrices/category)	4 categories
Select Cannabis Products	≥ 5	2 categories
Specific Category	≥ 5	1 category
Specific Matrix (s)	≥ 1	1 category

242  
243  
244  
245

**Table 5. Condition of Inoculating Culture and Stabilization of Matrix for Inoculation<sup>2</sup>**

Matrix	Inoculating Cells	Stabilization Conditions
Perishable product	Liquid non-stressed culture	4°C, 48-72 h
Heat processed perishable product	Liquid heat stressed culture	4°C, 48-72 h
Frozen Product	Liquid non-stressed culture (If frozen food is processed, cells must be heat stressed)	-20°C, 2 weeks
Shelf stable dry product	Dried culture	Ambient Temperature (20-25°C), 2 weeks
Shelf stable liquid product (heat processed)	Liquid non-stressed culture (If shelf stable product is processed, cells must be heat stressed)	Ambient Temperature (20-25°C), 2 weeks

246  
247  
248

249  
250  
251  
252

**Table 6. Inclusivity/Exclusivity Performance Requirements**

Parameter	Parameter Requirements	Final Test Concentration (CFU/mL)	Minimum Acceptable Results
Inclusivity	Single-laboratory validation (SLV) study: target strains cultured by the candidate method procedure. A minimum of 50 strains is required (reference Table 7).	10-100 x limit of detection of the candidate method	100% positive results <sup>a</sup>
Exclusivity	SLV study: At least 30 non-target organisms, cultured under optimal conditions for growth <sup>b</sup>	Overnight growth undiluted	100% negative results <sup>a</sup>
<p>a 100% correct analyses are expected. All unexpected results are to be retested following internationally recognized guidelines.<sup>2,6</sup> Some unexpected results may be acceptable if the unexpected results are investigated, and acceptable explanations can be determined and communicated to method users.</p> <p>b In instances where an exclusivity culture produces a positive or detected result by the candidate method, the culture may be reanalyzed after culture following the candidate method enrichment procedure. Both results (optimal growth conditions and candidate method enrichment) must be reported.</p>			

253



**Table 7. Inclusivity Panel<sup>a</sup>**

No.	Organism
1	<i>Alternaria alternata</i>
2	<i>Arthrimum</i> species
3	<i>Aspergillus aculeatus</i>
4	<i>Aspergillus brasiliensis</i>
5	<i>Aspergillus caesiellus</i>
6	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>
7	<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>
8	<i>Aspergillus niger</i>
9	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>
10	<i>Aspergillus terreus</i>
11	<i>Aureobasidium</i> species
12	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>
13	<i>Candida albicans</i>
14	<i>Candida tropicalis</i>
15	<i>Cladosporium</i> species
16	<i>Cryptococcus laurentii</i>
17	<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>
18	<i>Erysiphe</i> species <sup>b, c</sup>
19	<i>Fusarium proliferatum</i>
20	<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>
21	<i>Fusarium solani</i>
22	<i>Golovinomyces cichoracearum</i> <sup>b, c</sup>
23	<i>Hyphodontia</i> species <sup>c</sup>
24	<i>Microsphaera</i> species <sup>b, c</sup>
25	<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>
26	<i>Mucor hiemalis</i>
27	<i>Paecilomyces</i> species
28	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i>
29	<i>Penicillium rubens</i>
30	<i>Penicillium venetum</i>
31	<i>Phytophthora infestans</i>
32	<i>Podosphaera</i> species <sup>b, c</sup>
33	<i>Purpureocillium</i> species
34	<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>
35	<i>Rhizopus stolonifera</i>
36	<i>Scopulariopsis acremonium</i>
37	<i>Sphaerotheca</i> species <sup>b, c</sup>
38	<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>
39	<i>Talaromyces pinophilus</i>

<sup>a</sup>Method developers must test 50 total species to meet inclusivity requirements. The list in this table shall be included unless organisms cannot be obtained or cultured, per footnotes b and c.

<sup>b</sup>Organisms associated with powdery mildew that may potentially have difficulty growing in culture media

<sup>c</sup>Organism availability may be limited. Every effort to obtain these strains should be made. Non-traditional culture collections should be contacted for availability. In cases where strains are not available, different species may be supplemented. Expert reviewers will make the final determination if species analyzed are sufficient for certification.

262

263  
264

**Table 8. Exclusivity Panel<sup>a</sup>**

No.	Organism
1	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>
2	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>
3	<i>Burkholderia cepacia</i>
4	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>
5	<i>Citrobacter braakii</i>
6	<i>Citrobacter farmeri</i>
7	<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>
8	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>
9	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>
10	<i>Erwinia amylovora</i>
11	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
12	<i>Escherichia coli</i> O157:H7
13	<i>Escherichia hermanii</i>
14	<i>Escherichia vulneris</i>
15	<i>Hafnia alvei</i>
16	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>
17	<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>
18	<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>
19	<i>Morganella morganii</i>
20	<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i>
21	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>
22	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>
23	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>
24	<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>
25	<i>Ralstonia insidiosa</i>
26	<i>Rahnella aquatilis</i>
27	<i>Salmonella</i> Agona
28	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>
29	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
30	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>

265 <sup>a</sup>List of suggested organisms method developers can use to validate their methods. A minimum of 30 non-target  
266 organisms are required for AOAC adoption. Organisms utilized should be well characterized and information  
267 provided must include source, strain numbers and origin (if available)

268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278

279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325

## References

- (1) Appendix F: *Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, 21<sup>st</sup> Ed.* (2019). AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA.
- (2) Appendix J: *AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, 21<sup>st</sup> Ed.* (2019). AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA.
- (3) Appendix H: *Probability of Detection (POD) as a Statistical Model for the Validation of Qualitative Methods, Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, 21<sup>st</sup> Ed.* (2019). AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA.
- (4) General Chapter <61> *Microbiological Examination of Nonsterile Products: Microbial Enumeration Tests, USP 40.* (2016). United States Pharmacopeia, Rockville, MD, USA.
- (5) General Chapter <62> *Microbiological Examination of Nonsterile Products: Tests for Specified Microorganisms, USP 40.* (2016). United States Pharmacopeia, Rockville, MD, USA.
- (6) ISO 16140-2:2016, *Microbiology of the Food Chain — Method Validation Part 2: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods against a reference*

- 326 *method*, (2016). International Organization for Standardization, Geneva,  
327 Switzerland.
- 328 (7) ISO 16212:2017, *Cosmetics — Microbiology — Enumeration of yeast and mould*,  
329 (2017). International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 330 (8) European Pharmacopeia 01/2005:20612, *Microbiological examination of non-*  
331 *sterile products (total viable aerobic count)*, *European Pharmacopeia 5.6.* (2013).  
332 European Pharmacopeia, Strasbourg, France.
- 333 (9) McKenzie, D. 2016. *Technical Bulletin: TB02MAY2016: Acceptable Validation*  
334 *Claims for Proprietary/Commercial Microbiology Methods for Foods and*  
335 *Environmental Surfaces.* AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Rockville, MD, USA.
- 336  
337  
338  
339  
340

DRAFT