

1 **AOAC SMPR 2021.XXX; Draft AOAC Standard Method Performance Requirements (SMPRs) for**
2 **Targeted Testing (TT) of Saffron Adulterants; Version 2; May 27, 2021**

3
4 **Intended Use**

5 AOACI *SMPRs*[®] describe the minimum recommended performance characteristics to be used
6 during the evaluation of a method. The evaluation may be a single-laboratory validation, or a
7 multi-site collaborative study.

8
9 SMPRs are written and adopted by AOACI using the consensus of stakeholders representing the
10 industry, government, and academic and/or research institutions. AOACI SMPRs are used by
11 AOACI expert review panels (ERPs) in their evaluation of validation study data for method being
12 considered for *Performance Tested Methods*SM or AOACI *Official Methods of Analysis*SM and can
13 be used as acceptance criteria for verification at user laboratories.

14
15 **1. Applicability**

16 This document contains assessment parameters on the performance of Targeted Testing
17 methods to monitor saffron powder (as a Spice) for the presence of the following potential
18 economically motivated adulterants (EMAs): beet, pomegranate fibres, red dyed silk fibres,
19 safflower and marigold to red stigma of saffron, dyed corn stigmas, gardenia, meat fibers,
20 gelatin fibers, curcuma, sandalwood, Campeche wood powder, stigmas of other saffron types,
21 flowers, starch and glucose, acid orange II, metanil yellow, Sudan I, Ponceau 4R and Ponceau
22 6R.

23
24 **2. Analytical Technique**

25 A Targeted Testing (TT) method(s) to monitor saffron powder for the presence of the following
26 potential EMAs: beet, pomegranate fibres, red dyed silk fibres, safflower and marigold to red
27 stigma of saffron, dyed corn stigmas, gardenia, meat fibers, gelatin fibers, curcuma, sandalwood,
28 Campeche wood powder, stigmas of other saffron types, flowers, starch and glucose, acid orange
29 II, metanil yellow, Sudan I, Ponceau 4R and Ponceau 6R.

30 A Targeted method to be used to monitor and enforce regulatory requirements for saffron
31 adulterants in food.

32
33 Any quantitative method capable of detecting, identifying and quantifying the presence of an
34 adulterating ingredient in saffron powder present in the food item will be considered.

35 The scope of the TT method will be defined by the authentic samples and or reference standard
36 material (if available) that were used in validating the method.

37
38 **3. Definitions**

39 *Applicability Statement* – This document contains assessment parameters on the performance
40 of Targeted Testing methods to be used to monitor Saffron powder (Spice) for the presence of

41 the following potential economically motivated adulterants (EMA): beet, pomegranate fibres, red
42 dyed silk fibres, safflower and marigold to red stigma of saffron, dyed corn stigmas, gardenia,
43 meat fibers, gelatin fibers, curcuma, sandalwood, Campeche wood powder, stigmas of other
44 saffron types, flowers, starch and glucose, acid orange II, metanil yellow, Sudan I, Ponceau 4R
45 and Ponceau 6R.

46 *Economically Motivated Adulteration* – The fraudulent addition of non-authentic substances or
47 removal or replacement of authentic substances without the purchaser's knowledge for
48 economic gain of the seller.

49 Saffron - It is the dried stigmas of *Crocus Sativas L.* It is cultivated in some regions of Asia (Kashmir,
50 northern Iran), Europe (Castilla la Mancha, Spain; Kozani, Greece; Abbruzzo and Sardinia, Italy. It
51 is one of the most precious agricultural products and most expensive spice amongst 85 known
52 spices in the world. It is a sterile triploid plant, a member of the *Iridaceae* family called red gold.

53

54 Each saffron flower has ONLY 3 stigmas which is used as a food additive due to its aroma, color
55 and bitter taste and it is traditionally cultivated and harvested by hand, a very time consuming
56 and laborious process. For example it requires harvesting 150,000 flowers to generate 1 kg of
57 saffron.

58

59 The quality of saffron depends on the color produced by the carotenoid derivatives crocin and
60 crocetin, the main volatile component of safranal is a monoterpene with molecular formula
61 $C_{10}H_{14}O$ and the bitter taste is produced by the monoterpene glucoside with molecular formula
62 $C_{16}H_{26}O_7$

63

64 Several **Protected Designations of Origin (PDOs)** have been created to protect the authenticity
65 of saffron as it has, for example in the Italian region of “Zafferano dell Aquila,” one of the major
66 areas of production and global exports.

67

68 ***Authentic Saffron*** – Saffron quality is established by ISO based on aroma, bitterness and coloring
69 strength. ISO standard ISO 3632-1:2011 establishes saffron quality as one that uses a UV/VIS
70 spectrophotometric method that quantifies the flavour strength (expressed as the concentration
71 of picrocrocine), the aroma strength (concentration of safranal) and the coloring strength
72 (concentration of crocin).

73

74 ***Non-authentic substance or adulterant*** - A food item intentionally labelled as saffron when the
75 product developer knows that another substance or an adulterant such as those listed in the
76 applicability statement has been used to adulterate saffron for economic gain. e.g., Saffron of
77 unknown origin labelled as being cultivated in the PDO region in Spain can be used for
78 substitution

79

80 It should be noted that the maximum limit of permissible colours that may be added to any food
81 to be consumed as specified in the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act of India (PFA) is 100
82 mg/kg body weight.

83

84 Metanil yellow, the most frequently and widely used non-permitted food colour that include the
85 synthetic dyes such as auramine, lead chromate, rhodamine, sudan-3, sudan-4, orange 2 and
86 malachite green, are suspected to be mutagenic and carcinogenic and therefore present
87 potentially serious health issues to the consumer.

88 *Single Laboratory Validation* – Demonstration by one laboratory of method performance on
89 samples described according to internationally accepted validation guidelines contained in
90 Guidance Documents such as AOAC'S Appendix D, "Guidelines for Collaborative Study
91 Procedures to Validate Characteristics of a Method of Study" the ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Document:
92 "General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories", the Codex
93 Alimentarius Committee Guidance Document CAC/GL 71- 2009 - "Guidelines for the Design and
94 Implementation of National Regulatory Food Safety Assurance Programme Associated with the
95 use of Veterinary Drugs in Food Producing Animals" (Adopted 2009. Revision 2012, 2014); the
96 "Harmonized ISO/IUPAC/AOAC Guidelines for Single-Laboratory Validation of Methods of
97 Analysis CAC/GL-49-2003 "Harmonized Guidelines For Single-Laboratory Validation Of Methods
98 Of Analysis"; "Guidelines on the use of Mass Spectrometry (MS) for Identification, Confirmation
99 and Quantitative analysis of Residues CAC/GL 56-2005"; "Establishing the Fitness for Purpose of
100 Mass Spectrometric methods." and SANTE/12682/2019. "Method Validation and Quality Control
101 Procedures for Pesticide Residues Analysis in Food and Feed"- A Guidance document on
102 analytical quality control and method validation procedures for pesticide residues analysis in
103 food and feed.

104

105 Once the method has been demonstrated to meet the minimum requirements for validation and
106 fit for purpose criteria, the method can be reviewed and considered by AOACI for classification
107 as First Action Official Method of Analysis.

108

109 *Multi-laboratory Validation* – Demonstration between laboratories using adulterated samples
110 created by a third-party group and supplied blindly to the participating laboratories according to
111 guidelines described in the AOACI *Appendix D*, "Guidelines for Collaborative Study Procedures to
112 Validate Characteristics of a Method of Study" be considered for classification as AOAC Final
113 Action Method; "Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance
114 studies". Pure and Applied Chemistry, Horwitz, W. 1995. 67:331-343; "Guidelines for the
115 Assessment of the Competence of Testing Laboratories Involved in the Import and Export Control
116 of Food"- CAC/GL 27-1997; "Harmonized IUPAC Guidelines for the use of Recovery Information in
117 Analytical Measurement" - CAC/GL 37-2001; and "Harmonised Guidelines for the Use of Recovery
118 Information in Analytical Measurement"

119

120 The Predicted (PRSD_R) of REPRODUCIBILITY is calculated from the Horwitz equation

121 $PRSD_R = 2C^{-0.15}$ Where C is expressed as a mass fraction

122

123 For Quantitative methods undergoing MLV 10 –12 laboratories must be recruited to provide at
124 least 8 valid data sets; two blind duplicate replicates at five concentration levels for each
125 analyte/matrix combination to each collaborator.

126

127 HorRat (Repeatability, r) = $RSD_r/PRSD_R$

128 HorRat (Reproducibility, R) = $RSD_R/PRSD_R$

129

130 For Inter-laboratory studies: acceptable HorRat (R) of 1 with limits of acceptability of 0.5 to 2;

131 For Within-Laboratory studies: acceptable HorRat (r) of 0.3 – 1.3

132

133 4. Method Performance Requirements

134

135 **Table 1: Method Performance Requirements for Colour and Non-colour Adulterants in Saffron**

| Analytical Parameter | Acceptance Criteria for colour adulterants | Acceptance Criteria for colour adulterants |
|--|--|---|
| Analytical Range (%) | 1 – 30 % | 1 – 30 % |
| LOQ (%) | ≤1 | ≤1 |
| Recovery % | 80 – 120 % | 80 – 120 % |
| Accuracy % | ± 20 % | ± 20 % |
| Precision (Repeatability) RSD _r | 15 | 15 |
| Precision (Reproducibility) RSD _R | 20 | 20 |
| | Beet, pomegranate fibers, red dyed silk fibers, safflower and marigold to red stigmas of saffron, dyed corn stigmas, stigmas of other saffron types, <i>curcuma</i> , acid orange II, metanil yellow, sudan I, Ponceau 4R and 6R | Gardenia, meat fibers, gelatin fibers, sandalwood, Campeche wood powder, starch and glucose used as fillers or bulking agents |

136

137 5. System Suitability Tests and/or Analytical Quality Control

138 Suitable methods will include blanks, and appropriate check standards.

139

140 6. Reference Materials

141 A detailed description of the process used to obtain and evaluate authentic/reference
142 standard materials (sources), and of the test protocol used for validating the method must
143 be provided.

144

145 **7. Validation Guidance**

- 146 a. Data demonstrating method performance is required.
- 147
- 148 b. Samples: Complete documentation for the collection and use of authentic samples must
- 149 be supplied by the method authors. The scope of “authentic” samples used to validate
- 150 the method must be applicable to the defined scope of the TT method. Expansion of the
- 151 scope is possible with the inclusion of additional authentic samples and abbreviated
- 152 validation using the protocol listed in this SMPR.
- 153
- 154 c. For single lab validation studies, the method will be evaluated using prescribed
- 155 adulterated materials as shown in Table 1 above. Methods approved at this level will
- 156 proceed to a second level of evaluation (multi-laboratory) where blinded samples
- 157 containing unknown adulterants will be sent to participating laboratories.
- 158
- 159 d. Statistical analysis of interlaboratory studies. Sample size needed to meet performance
- 160 requirement on proportion.
- 161

162 **8. Maximum Time-to-Results**

163 None.

164

165 **References:**

166 CAC/GL 27-1997 - "Guidelines for the Assessment of the Competence of Testing Laboratories

167 Involved in the Import and Export Control of

168 Food"http://www.fao.org/input/download/standards/355/CXG_027e.pdf

169

170 CAC/GL 37-2001 - "Harmonized IUPAC Guidelines for the use of Recovery Information in

171 Analytical Measurement

172 "http://www.fao.org/input/download/standards/376/CXG_037e.pdf

173

174 CAC/GL-49-2003 - "Harmonized ISO/IUPAC/AOAC Guidelines for Single-Laboratory Validation

175 of Methods of Analysis

176 <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/guidelines/en/>

177 CAC/GL 56-2005 – CXG 56 "Guidelines on the use of Mass Spectrometry (MS) for Identification,

178 Confirmation and Quantitative analysis of Residues"

179 <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/guidelines/en/>

180

181 Codex Alimentarius Committee Guidance Document CAC/GL 71- 2009 - "Guidelines for the

182 Design and Implementation of National Regulatory Food Safety Assurance Programme

183 Associated with the use of Veterinary Drugs in Food Producing Animals" (Adopted 2009.
184 Revision 2012, 2014
185 <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/guidelines/en/>
186
187 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Guideline Document: "General requirements for the competence of
188 testing and calibration laboratories", the Codex Alimentarius Committee Guidance Document
189 <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:17025:en>
190
191 SANTE/12682/2019. "Method Validation and Quality Control Procedures for Pesticide
192 Residues Analysis in Food and Feed"- A Guidance document on analytical quality control and
193 method validation procedures for pesticide residues analysis in food and feed.
194 [https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2](https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2019-12682.pdf)
195 [019-12682.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides_mrl_guidelines_wrkdoc_2019-12682.pdf)
196
197 Appendix D, "Guidelines for Collaborative Study Procedures to Validate Characteristics of a
198 Method of Study" J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 72, 694–704(1989).
199
200 Appendix F: "Guidelines for Standard Method Performance Requirements"2016 AOAC
201 Official Methods of Analysis
202
203 M. Thompson, S.L.R. Ellison and R. Wood, 2002. "Harmonized Guidelines for Single-
204 Laboratory Validation Of Methods Of Analysis" Pure Appl. Chem., 74, (5) 835 – 855.
205 <http://publications.iupac.org/pac/2002/pdf/7405x0835.pdf>
206
207 Bethem, R., Boison, J.O., Gale, J., Heller, D., Lehotay, S., Loo, J., Musser, S., Price, P., and Stein,
208 S. (2003). "Establishing the Fitness for Purpose of Mass Spectrometric methods." Journal of
209 the American Society for Mass Spectrometry 14: 528-541.
210
211 Horwitz, W. 1995 "Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance
212 studies". Pure and Applied Chemistry 67:331-343
213
214 Thompson, M., Ellison, S., Fajgelj, A., Willetts, P., & Wood, R. (1999) "Harmonised Guidelines
215 for the Use of Recovery Information in Analytical Measurement" Pure Applied Chemistry, 71:
216 337-348.